



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,143	01/21/2000	William J. Baer	STI.920000020US1 09200041C	5414
46157 7590 11/30/2012 EDEL, SHAPIRO, & FINNAN, LLC 9801 Washingtonian Blvd. Suite 750 Gaithersburg, MD 20878				
EXAMINER				
QUELER, ADAM M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2177				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/30/2012		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

epatent@usiplaw.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/489,143	Applicant(s) BAER ET AL.	
	Examiner ADAM M. QUELER	Art Unit 2177	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ADAM M. QUELER (3) ____.
- (2) Stuart Shapiro (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☒101 ☒112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 17-24.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Advised Applicant that new rules on §112, ¶6, would make the claims indefinite despite the Board Decision. In lieu of reopening prosecution Applicant agrees to offer amended claims.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/ADAM M QUELER/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2177